UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,173	12/07/2004	Robert Burgler	AP042-04	1223
29689 DAVID A. GUI	7590 07/09/200 E RRA	EXAMINER		
	NAL PATENT GROU	JOHNSON, VICKY A		
2025 17TH AVENUE N.W. CALGARY, AB T2M 0S7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			3682	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,173 BURGLER, ROBERT		:T
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Vicky A. Johnson	3682						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	7).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			e appeal. Since a					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a								
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The applicant argues that the Matt reference fails to disc shown in Figure 3. Further the limitation is being interpretation.	lose "a first cylindrical section". The eted as "any section that is cylindric	e Examiner disagrees cal," and as can be se	s. It is clearly en in Figure 2,					
the entire inside surface of the device is cylindrical. The applicant's remarks have been considered, but are not fully persuasive. 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	Aliala A laborari							
	/Vicky A. Johnson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3682						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)